



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,246	01/11/2006	Stefano Delfini	3559	6991
7590	09/29/2008		EXAMINER	
Striker Striker Stenby 103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			DEXTER, CLARK F	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/29/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10564246	1/11/2006	DELFINI ET AL.	3559

EXAMINER

Clark F.. Dexter

ART UNIT	PAPER
----------	-------

3724 20080926

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

The response filed on July 1, 2008 is not fully responsive to the prior Office Action because of the following omission(s) or matter(s):  
The replacement drawings include informal markings are thus are improper.

The amendments to the specification are improper because they are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b)(2)(ii); for example, see the replacement paragraphs for the abstract (line 9), and the replacement paragraphs for specification (response page 5, lines 2, 14; response page 6, lines 9, 18, 21; response page 8, line 14 (all deletions of 5 characters or less).

Similarly, the amendments to the claims are improper because they are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(c)(2); for example, see claims 2 (line 4), claim 4 (line 6), claim 7 (line 2), claim 8 (line 1), claim 9 (line 1) (all deletions of 5 characters or less). In these examples, using strikethrough is improper and the deletions must be shown using double bracketing (as stated in the corresponding rule, the text of any deleted subject matter must be shown by being placed within double brackets if strikethrough cannot be easily perceived which corresponds to deletions of 5 characters or less).

Further, in claim 11, line 10, the bracketed recitation is improper and in line 12, "runup" is both underlined and has a strike-through.

Applicant should review the response to ensure no other informalities are present.

In response to this communication, it is suggested to simply provide a supplemental amendment to resolve the outstanding issue(s). If applicant has any questions regarding the manner of making amendments, applicant is welcome to telephone the Examiner. See 37 CFR 1.111. Since the above-mentioned reply appears to be bona fide, applicant is given ONE (1) MONTH or THIRTY (30) DAYS from the mailing date of this notice, whichever is longer, within which to supply the omission or correction in order to avoid abandonment. EXTENSIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRANTED UNDER 37 CFR 1.136(a).

*/Clark F. Dexter/  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 3724*